The Global Conspiracy Debunks the Global Conspiracy; Or, An Introductions to Conspiracy Theories Featuring the UN

Stated opinions should be taken as those of the author and not the organization unless stated otherwise.

CONTENTS

An Introduction to Conspiracy Theories, Featuring the UN 5

Who is Behind the Campaign? 8

"What are Conspiracy Theories? Why Do They Flourish?" 26

"Is this a Conspiracy Theory?" 34

"What About My Own Beliefs?"; Or, Gaslighting the Reader 44

"Conspiracy Theories Can Be Dangerous" 49

The Link to Antisemitism 53

"Prebunking and Debunking"; Or, Limiting Exposure to Ideas 67

"How to Talk to Somebody That Believes In Them"; Or, How to Respond to Those that Don't 70

"The Link to COVID-19" 72

"Concrete Counter Actions" 83

"How Can Journalists Report on Conspiracy Theories?" 85

The Fact of Conspiracy 89



H.G. Wells was not only an author of fiction. This "bourgeois philistine" (as Lenin called him) also wrote *The Open Conspiracy* and *The New World Order,* political manifestos calling on global capitalists to create a single world government, religion, and language; he also called for the destruction of the working class, nations, and all "backwards" ideas which threaten bourgeois-globalist interests. Wells was a member of the ultra-elite Pilgrims and Fabian Societies. His manifestoes were openly praised by Prime Ministers David Lloyd George and Harold Macmillan, by leading banker Lionel Rothschild, and by First Lady Eleanor Roosevelt, a leading mind behind the UN.

"The Open Conspiracy does not want to destroy existing controls, but to amalgamate them into a common world directorate.... The Open Conspiracy is necessarily opposed to all national loyalties. Loyalty to the country passes into plain treason to mankind. The world commonwealth will need its own protection so long as there are people running about the planet with flags and uniforms and weapons, interfering with the free movement of commodities in the name of national sovereignty."

- H.G. Wells, *The Open Conspiracy.*

An Introduction to Conspiracy Theories, Featuring the UN

Every conscious worker knows that the world is run by a cabal of blood-sucking parasites who care little for the general cause of mankind, the fate of nations, or the plight of industry. Their name: *capitalists*. Their conspiracy: *to amass capital.* It goes without saying that the conspiracy to amass capital naturally gives rise to hundreds of other, smaller conspiracies, ranging from the killing of individuals, the overthrow of states, the manipulation of economies, the manufacture of wars, and so on.

The thinking worker has known these great "secrets" on an intuitive level since the dawn of his existence. In the mid-19th century, Marx provided the scientific foundations that would prove the foundation of all bourgeois rule is nothing more than a conspiracy of economic parasitism. In the midst of the First World War, Lenin showed that it is not the capitalist's moral failing, but the physical necessities which presuppose his very existence that drive him unfailingly to engage in the most extreme abuses of humanity. This conspiracy against mankind is easily proven by simple economic theory. If one follows Lenin's theories of monopolism and the clique-forming tendency of finance capital to their conclusion, they are naturally led to discover such organizations as Bilderberg Group, the Council on Foreign Relations, Chatham House, Brookings Institution, World Economic Forum, Business Roundtable, and so on, all the way down to the more abstract fraternities, like Freemasonry and B'nai B'rith. It becomes apparent that the world's richest men, like Gates and Bezos, are nothing more than appendages of a

far greater, far more vicious system of centuries-old international banking cartels.

The bourgeoisie, around 150 years late to the matter, are finally getting around to this accusation leveled upon them. The United Nations, the leading forum of global bourgeois rule, has just recently published what is perhaps one of the most bizarre and ill-advised "public awareness" campaigns in the history of elite bourgeois politics. This was done through their public relations arm, UNESCO.

> 'Think before sharing: stop the spread of conspiracy theories," writes UNESCO. "Conspiracy theories cause real harm to people, to their health, and also to their physical safety. They amplify and legitimize misconceptions, and reinforce stereotypes which can fuel violence and violent extremist ideologies... A new campaign helps you learn how to identify, debunk, react to and report on conspiracy theories to prevent their spread. Check out the infographics and social media pack and help spread the word that facts matter and nobody is to blame. Thinking critically and being informed about conspiracy theories is key to challenging them. Conspiracy theories can be

> dangerous: they often target and discriminate against vulnerable groups, ignore scientific evidence and polarize society with serious consequences. This needs to stop."

This UN campaign, titled "Think Before Sharing", seeks to distribute 10 pre-made infographics devised and approved by elite capitalists in order to debunk (or "prebunk") the notion that elite capitalists exploit the world economic and political order for their own benefit. The basic idea is that one can go to UNESCO's website, download these infographics, and post them online – especially on social media – in response to anybody who spreads "conspiracy theories", so as to "stop the spread" of these most dangerous ideas. If the reader looks up the phrase "think before you share," they will find a slew of prominent government and academic websites using the exact phrase to spread the exact same message as the UN.

I am not sure who the target demographic for this is, since I cannot imagine the type of person that would share them without being paid by the UN directly. Realistically, posting these infographics in an argument will probably just be met with a bunch memes calling the person who posted them a retard. Nonetheless, we should review this campaign in more detail for the sake of understanding the *mindset* of the rootless cosmopolitans who drive the world-state. But before we undertake this effort, it is necessary that we understand *who exactly* stands behind this bold challenge to our common intellect.

Who is Behind the Campaign?

Who is behind the "Think Before Sharing" campaign? Who is responsible for its creation? Luckily, UNESCO does not hide the fact, and instead boasts of it at the very top of the campaign's website.

> "This UNESCO campaign is implemented jointly with the European Commission, Twitter, and the World Jewish Congress."

The UN, as seen above, names three partners. These partners are seriously remarkable. For an attempt to dissuade the masses of an elite conspiracy, *the UN could not have picked three worse institutions.*

Let us start with the European Commission (EC). There is a good chance that if the reader is not European (or even if they are), they have never heard of the EC, or at least have never researched it beyond its name. The EC sits behind the European Union, European Parliament, and a tangled network of European bureaucracy, drawing these elements together into one solid unit. The EC is the engine which, along with the less 'official' Pan-European Union, drives the whole project for European integrationism.



Founded in the aftermath of WWII, the EC was largely the project of Frenchman Jean Monnet (pictured), an extremely wealthy cognac merchant who had an extensive affair with one of his married 21-year-old employees, the Italian Silvia Giannini, when he was 41 (Monnet eventually convinced Giannini to renounce her Italian citizenship and become a Soviet citizen entirely so that she could divorce her old

husband under the Soviet laws and remarry to Monnet without delay). Like all wealthy perverts, Monnet was a powerful man: he was close to Winston Churchill, Charles de Gaulle, Arthur Balfour, John Kennedy, Lyndon Johnson, and plenty more; his sister was a Catholic activist who introduced him to Pope Paul VI. In 1950, five years after the end of WWII and eight years before the founding of the European Commission, Monnet began his long career in elite politics when he gathered several leading German politicians in his house: Robert Schuman, Walter Hallstein, Paul-Henri Spaak, Konrad Adenauer, René Pleven, and Helmut Schmidt.

Robert Schuman is considered the father of the European Union. Helmut Schmidt served in the Nazi Luftwaffe (Air Force). Walter Hallstein and was an Oberleutnant in the Nazi Wehrmacht, and helped carry out the extermination of foreign populations during WWII before co-founding the German branch of UNESCO. Adenauer was Mayor of Cologne for the entire Weimar Republic era: in this capacity, he declared that the NSDAP "should take a leading role in the German government" shortly before their rise to power, and for this was directly praised by Hitler. He also exchanged letters with President of the Reich Hermann Goring. Adenauer then became the first post-war Chancellor of Germany, and 68% of the officials in his cabinet were former NSDAP members: Adenauer's government had more NSDAP members *than even Hitler's government,* a fact recognized by mainstream academia.

It was these Fascist gentlemen who, with the distinguished pervert Monnet, devised the general economic program for the broad assimilation of all Europe, something the Nazis, particularly Hallstein (and

Anglo Fascists under Mosley), had in the past declared as their primary aim, calling this idea the "Europäische Neuordnung" (lit. "New European Order"). The European Commission, the spearhead of this revised, more liberal Europäische Neuordnung, was founded in 1958. Hallstein, having been a Wehrmacht Lieutenant just 13 years prior, became its first President. This is the history which the UN insists you should not be suspicious of.

Monnet shakes hands with Konrad Adenauer, German Chancellor, praised by Hitler, who filled his post-war government with NSDAP officials.

Widely recognized as the EC's most influential President was Jacques Delors, who served from 1985 to 1995 after a stint as President of the European Parliament (1979 – 1981) and Minister of Finance for France (1981 – 1984). Delors came from a family of wealthy Parisian bankers, and from 1940 to 1960 worked extensively with the Banque

de France, an infamous financial institution much like the US Federal Reserve which has since its inception been dominated by the Rothschilds and other global banking dynasties (known in France as "the 200 families"). Delors is largely credited as the mastermind of the modern European economy: he devised the plan of a single European market with open borders, and it was under his direction that the EC created the Euro, a currency for all Europe, in 1992.

Delors was also a member of the Club of Rome, an elite invitation-only think-tank for UN members and global capitalists. This Club speaks openly of their desire to sabotage the development of national industry and the growth of populations, doing so particularly in their notorious *The Limits of Growth* (1972). This Club was founded by the American capitalist David Rockefeller, of the Rockefeller oil dynasty. We should briefly divert from the subject of the European Commission to speak of the Rockefeller dynasty, and of the connections which they boast. This will allow us to see who stands behind the men who run Europe.

So much could be said of the Rockefellers: enough to write a book longer than the Bible. They have their hands in everything, and it is easy for the reader to find this on their own accord. But we will not say anything about the Rockefellers at all. Instead, we will let David Rockefeller explain his family in his own words. The following passage comes from page #405 of Rockefeller's 2002 autobiography *Memoirs,* and the reader is seriously urged to look it up if they do not believe it is real.

> "For more than a century," writes Rockefeller, "Extremists at either end of the political spectrum attack the Rockefeller family for the inordinate

> influence they claim we wield over political and economic institutions. Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the country, accusing my family and myself of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure. If that's the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it!"



David Rockefeller (pictured) is but one of many Rockefellers. This single Rockefeller is by himself responsible for so many deeds. Here is an example: he is the man responsible for the sudden elite status of international child sex trafficker Jeffrey Epstein, who inexplicably went from being an irrelevant schoolteacher to a trustee at Rockefeller University, a member of Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission, a member of the Council on Foreign Relations (with the Rockefellers and Rothschilds), and so on. Indeed, David Rockefeller was personally on Epstein's flight logs. All of this is public record.

In 2018, David Rockefeller sat in his mansion, which is filled with paintings of naked children and Turkish sex-slaves. Beside him sat an English Jew named Jacob Rothschild (pictured). Rothschild's son, Nathan, was good friends with Jeffrey Epstein's girlfriend, Ghislaine Maxwell. Jacob's cousin, Evelyn, met Lynn (now Evelyn's wife) after being introduced to her by Epstein's lawyer, Alan Dershowitz, at a Bilderberg Meeting. In total, eight members from Rothschild's

family were on Epstein's flight logs. This clique is also very close with the Clinton and McCain families. As the Rockefellers are the most powerful industrial dynasty in the world, so the Rothschilds are the most powerful banking dynasty in the world. It is not even a contest. The Rothschilds *invented* global banking. They stand behind every royal family, every media tycoon, every elite politician, every monopolist businessman. This is attested to in Niall Furgeson's *House of Rothschild: the World's Banker,* which was praised by the Rothschild family directly.

In the photograph below, we see his historic meeting with Rockefeller. Rothschild was not simply meeting with Rockefeller to exchange friendly greetings. Rothschild met with Rockefeller to announce that the Rothschild Investment Trust was purchasing a 40% share in the Rockefeller Family Fund. Rockefeller behind the EC; Rothschild behind Rockefeller. Truly, as Lenin said, the capitalists are "really just one big capitalist."

"Lord Rothschild and I have known each other for five decades," Rockefeller said at the meeting. "The connection between our two families remains very strong."

Standing with these two men at this meeting was the Jewish financer Michael Bloomberg. Bloomberg is the former Mayor of New York (2002 – 2013). He is the single largest political donor in America: he gives quite literally hundreds of millions to Democrats every year, several times more than even the largest PACs and interest lobbies. He ran for President entirely on his own money in 2020, finding more success than Tulsi Gabbard, Amy Klobuchar, and Pete Buttigieg. He promised that "as President" he "will always have Israel's back and never impose conditions on military aid to them, no matter who is Prime Minister." Bloomberg was on Epstein's flight logs, too.

"If only my father knew that I'm friends with David Rockefeller and Jacob Rothschild," Bloomberg said at the meeting. "He would be so proud!"

These are the 'innocent' men who stand behind the nameless faces of the European Commission, like the father of the Euro, Jacques Delors. The UN insists they

are not to be suspected by any means. We will not even pretend the UN is simply clueless. *The UN knows exactly what it is doing,* and quite simply, they believe the reader is too stupid to see through it.

Who is the President of the European Commission today? That would be Ursula von der Leyen. Her father, Ernst Albrecht, was a German aristocrat from the centuries-old Albrecht dynasty; he served as Prime Minister of Saxony and Director-General of the EC. Ursula's brother, Hans-Holger Albrecht, is the President and CEO of Deezer, a global media conglomerate.

To the right, Leyen shakes hands with the Jewish coke addict and comedian-turned-President, Vladimir "I will make Ukraine into a second Israel" Zelensky[1]. If the reader has been falling for all the Ukraine slop, they have probably seen Leyen on TV talking about how it is their duty to sacrifice a warm winter so that the Zelensky can shove "his" nation into the clutches of the EU. Here, we see Leyen and Zelensky pose with a Ukraine flag which has been defaced with the European Union flag. Leyen has just promised to "speed up Ukraine's EU membership."

To the right, Leyen shakes hands with President Donald Trump at Klaus Schwab's World Economic Forum; Schwab's father, Eugen Schwab, served as an engineer

[1] The is a verbatim from Zelensky.

in the Swiss branch of the NSDAP. In Davos, Switzerland, the WEF hosts its invitation-only meetings under strict privacy, with hired mercenary snipers guarding the building premise. Outsiders – fellows like the reader and myself – are not allowed inside, and are only allowed to know as much as the few journalists who are invited wish to share.

These meetings are attended by nearly all the world's leading capitalists, royals, politicians, media magnates, academics, and so forth – women like Leyen, men like Trump. The reader is encouraged to look up a roster of WEF attendees for a single year, and they will get the idea. We can give one example: a man who attends nearly every year is Henry Kissinger, who we see pictured with Leyen at the Munich Security Conference in 2014. Kissinger is a Hungarian Jew who immigrated to America after serving in US military intelligence during WWII. He can rightly be called the 'Prime Minister' of world government. He is very close with the Rothschild-Rockefeller clique, to nearly every major politician, and to so many NGOs and think-tanks, it is impossible to count them: he is a member not only the WEF, but also the Trilateral Commission, Bilderberg Group, Council on Foreign Relations, and just about any other big organization the reader can think of.

> "Power has made Kissinger blossom," reads a 1972 *Time* article. "Now that he is solidly established as undisputed boss of foreign affairs, he is more relaxed than ever, and he is ostentatiously enjoying himself."

For an idea of Kissinger's influence, we can glance at a few photographs.

WEF founder Klaus Schwab meets with Henry Kissinger in 2016. Through the WEF, Kissinger has in the past issued calls for a "New International Order".

Michael Bloomberg (left) with Kissinger (right) and George Schultz (middle); Schultz is another leading 'behind the scenes' political elite who died just recently.

Princess Firyal of Jordan, Jacob Rothschild, and Kissinger at a UN Conference in 2001. This photograph was published by the UN Business Council magazine in the article *The Net World Order: eCommerce and the Global Divide*.

Kissinger with David Rockefeller (top-left); Lynn Rothschild (top-right); George Bush (bottom-left); John McCain (bottom-right).

Kissinger with President Reagan in 1981 (top). Kissinger with President Clinton in 1995 (bottom). They seem to like him a lot.

The UN mentioned two other organizations behind their anti- "conspiracy theory" campaign. The first of these is Twitter, and since this is literally a massive for-profit social media empire, it would seem condescending to the reader for us to explain how this group does not act in the public interest. Let us just briefly highlight the fact. Twitter's Chairman is Bret Taylor. Taylor comes from Stanford University, one of the most elite academic institutions in the world. In 2005, he co-created Google Maps: and if the reader knows anything about the history of Google's map software, they will know it was designed in

coordination with In-Q-Tel, the CIA's official technology firm. Taylor is now CEO of Salesforce, a California tech company founded by Jewish financier Marc Benioff, a Young Global Leader of the World Economic Forum (he is on the WEF's board of trustees) who served on President George Bush's Information Technology Advisory Committee in 2003. Benioff also helped co-found the Earthshot Prize with the UK's Prince William, and his cousin, David Benioff, is the creator of *Game of Thrones* – entertainment media is nothing but another arm of monopoly, you see.

Now, finally, we come to the last organization: the World Jewish Congress. It should be said that if one is trying to convince the world that Jews do not have an inordinate amount of influence among global politics, even mentioning the WJC is probably the single worst idea imaginable. This cabal is so well-connected that even other Jews frequently denounce its influence.

> "The World Jewish Congress's actions are an extraordinary infamy," wrote the Jew Bruno Kreisky, former Chancellor of Austria, in reference to the WJC"s interference in Austria's 1986 elections. "Austrians won't allow foreign Jews to order us around."

The WJC's founder, Nahum Goldmann, held citizenship to seven different countries, including (of course) the US and Israel. He was a passionate cosmopolitan, and the WJC today brags of how he helped set up a secret network of political contacts throughout the synagogues of the USSR

to conduct anti-communist agitation, which proved invaluable to the eventual rise of Gorbachev.

> "The WJC is to establish a real, legitimate, collective representation of Jewry," said Goldmann. "It will be entitled to speak in the name of the 16 million Jews to the nations and governments of the world, as well as to the Jews themselves."

They are "entitled" to speak on behalf of all the Jews, says Goldmann. More recently, the WJC's Executive Director, Elan Steinberg, said:

> "For a long time, the World Jewish Congress was meant to be the greatest secret of Jewish life, using quiet diplomacy. Now we're less timid, more forcefully Jewish."

Now, what exactly *is* the WJC? The WJC is exactly what the name suggests: a congress designed to unite *all* the Jews in the world under a single global state (initially "meant to be the greatest secret of Jewish life"). When we say "all the Jews", we mean *all of them.* If one is "of Jewish blood", then no matter where they live, save for an extremely limited number of countries, then there is a WJC branch near them, and it will seek them out. This branch will take their vote on matters of global Jewish leadership and policy. These votes are not at all meaningless: they are officially counted in the state elections of Israel, and in the elections for the leadership of big synagogues and Jewish activist NGOs. A French Jew, through the WJC, is allowed to vote in the Israeli elections; an Israeli Jew, through the WJC, can vote on what agenda will be pushed by the French Jews.

Nearly every major Jewish organization in the world has an official partnership with the WJC, including the

State of Israel and World Zionist Congress. Every year, these organizations send delegations to convene with leading Jewish Rabbis and Jewish politicians under a gigantic depiction of the globe marked with the Star of David. It is not subtle at all.

The third meeting of the World Jewish Congress, 1953.



Now: who leads the World Jewish Congress? It is, of course, the Rothschilds. Not as a metaphor! We mean it literally. The Chairman of the World Jewish Congress is David Rothschild, the leader of the Rothschild family's French branch. He was, like so much of his family, listed on Epstein's flight logs. Why is this man directing his organization to assist UN initiatives aimed at "stopping the spread of conspiracy theories"? One can only guess.

(Left-to-right) David Rothschild, Jacob Rothschild, Ronnie Lauder, and Robert Kraft (the owner of Kraft, a leading food company) at the WJC's Theodore Herzl Awards in 2018. Herzl, a 19th century writer, is considered the father of Zionism. The Rothschild family, particularly Edmond de Rothschild, openly take credit for the creation of Israel today. The Israeli Parliament was built with their money, and contains a painting of the whole family (along with a portrait of Herzl) in their honor.

That fellow standing with Chairman Rothschild in the picture above is Ronnie Lauder, the WJC's President. President Lauder is a member of a prominent dynasty of Jewish monopolists who run Estée Lauder Companies in France. He also owns the Rockefeller Guest House on East 52nd Street in New York. He is the father-in-law of Kevin Warsh, a member of the US Federal Reserve's Board of Governors. He is good friends with the former German Chancellor Angela Merkel, Argentine President Cristina de Kirchner, Spanish Prime Minister Jose Anzar, British Prime Minister Tony Blair, and, naturally, with Henry Kissinger. In 2016, he called Vladimir Putin a

"Nazi" for asking: "The Americans accuse Russia of interfering with their elections, but have they not looked at the Jews?"

(Above) WJC President Lauder with American Democratic President Biden. (Below) Lauder does the same with Republican President Trump. These notorious "enemies" shake the same "invisible hand". If you notice this, you are a "Nazi" (but remember, Trump is *also* a "Nazi").

And so we have it: the UN, European Commission, Twitter, and World Jewish Congress have insisted to us that there is no elite which controls the world from behind the scenes, and that we are to beware of – indeed, to actively partake in thwarting – anybody who

would suggest otherwise. Let us now pass on to the actual campaign propped up by these unabashed cosmopolitan forces and inspect it in more detail.

“What are Conspiracy Theories? Why Do They Flourish?”

#ThinkBeforeSharing

CONSPIRACY THEORIES

What are they? Why do they flourish?

BE WARNED: THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC HAS SEEN A RISE IN HARMFUL AND MISLEADING CONSPIRACY THEORIES. IT MAY BE DIFFICULT TO RECOGNIZE THEM OR KNOW HOW BEST TO DEAL WITH THEM.

1. What are they?

→ The belief that events are secretly manipulated behind the scenes by powerful forces with negative intent.

2. Conspiracy theories have these 6 things in common

1. An alleged, secret plot
2. A group of conspirators
3. ‘Evidence’ that seems to support the conspiracy theory
4. They falsely suggest that nothing happens by accident and that there are no coincidences; nothing is as it appears and everything is connected.
5. They divide the world into good or bad.
6. They scapegoat people and groups.

3. Why do they flourish?

→ They offer an explanation of events or situations which are difficult to understand and bring a false sense of control and agency. This need is heightened in times of uncertainty like the COVID-19 pandemic.

4. How do they take root?

→ Conspiracy theories often start as a suspicion. They ask who is benefiting from the event or situation and thus identify the conspirators. Any ‘evidence’ is then forced to fit the theory.

Once they have taken root, conspiracy theories can grow quickly. They are hard to refute because any person who tries is seen as being part of the conspiracy.

5. Why do people spread them?

→ There are different reasons: Most believe they are true. Others deliberately want to provoke, manipulate or target people for political or financial reasons. Beware: They can come from many sources e.g. internet, friends, relatives.

With thanks to Michael Butter, co-author of the COMPACT Guide to Conspiracy Theories, and John Cook and Stephan Lewandowsky, authors of The Debunking Handbook and The Conspiracy Theory Handbook.

UNESCO

THE FIRST STEP TO PREVENT CONSPIRACY THEORIES IS TO KNOW THAT THEY EXIST. BE AWARE. STOP THE SPREAD.

European Commission

The UN seeks to define what a "conspiracy theory" is, "why they flourish", and so on. They begin:

> "What are conspiracy theories? They are the belief that events are secretively manipulated behind the scenes by powerful forces with negative intent."

"Secretly?" Not at all. "Behind the scenes?" With little fanfare, perhaps, but still considerably public. "Powerful forces?" Most certainly. "Negative intent?" For the intent of profit – whether one views that as negative or positive is their own moral judgment. UNESCO then asserts that "conspiracy theories have six things in common". The first commonality:

> "An alleged, secret plot."

In many cases, as we've said, these are neither "alleged" nor "secret". Even when they are, it should be obvious that when a person sees 'X' in public, they are able to deduce that 'Y' is taking place in private (especially when, as is so common, 'Y' has already happened over and over in the past). Oftentimes, this instinct is proven right, since as it goes, we are not animals – we have brains, and can make sense of vast amounts of information even with just a few hints here and there. Man isn't so gullible as the liberal likes to believe (and indeed, as much as the liberal likes to render himself for the sake of moral comfort). If all detectives were liberals, we'd never solve a mystery, because these brilliant thinkers would insist that any hunch based on any lead is an empty "conspiracy theory".

The next thing which conspiracy theorists believe in:

> "A group of conspirators and 'evidence' that seems to support the conspiracy theory."

It would be rather strange to believe in anything *without* evidence. What is stranger is that UNESCO asserts something *all* conspiracy theories have in common is "evidence that seems to support the theory". Surely, if these theories are baseless, wouldn't most (or at least some) of them have *no evidence whatsoever?* What could possibly possess UNESCO to make it seem as though *all* of these dreaded "conspiracy theorists" will be providing "evidence that seems to support their claims"? Are they simply trying to stigmatize the idea of evidence itself? Does this mean some people who tell the truth will provide no evidence? All of this is quite strange.

The next thing conspiracy theorists believe in:

> "They falsely suggest that nothing happens by accident and that there are no coincidences: nothing is as it appears and everything is connected."

This point is very interesting. Here, UNESCO does not attempt to "debunk" anything. They just put the word "falsely" before it, and apparently, that is enough to prove that the several institutions which run the world (like WJC, UN, EC, Twitter, which are all interconnected, as we proved) aren't actually interconnected.

Wearing the same outfit as your friend on the same day is a "coincidence". Forgetting to carry a digit over when balancing a budget is an "accident". What is *neither* a coincidence, *nor* an accident? When *Fox News* and bourgeois media in general insist that we should send troops to Syria on the basis of their "gassing

civilians", while Rupert Murdoch (who owns *Fox News*) sits on the board of trustees for Genie Energy, an Israeli oil company with properties in occupied Syria, alongside men like Jacob Rothschild, Bill Richardson (New Mexico Governor, on Epstein's flight logs), James Woolsey (former CIA Director, Master Freemason, admitted just recently that the CIA still overthrows foreign governments which don't cooperate), Dick Cheney (who helped declare the Iraq War as Vice President), Larry Summers (Harvard President, World Bank Chief Economist, US Treasurer, National Economic Council Director, Epstein's flight logs), and so forth. This is neither a "coincidence", nor an "accident", and neither is it a "coincidence" or "accident" that the UN, EC, and WJC, acting in direct service of these men, would insist that this system is not outrageously corrupt to its very core.

Ultra-elite Genie Energy trustee Larry Summers (left) with Jeffrey Epstein (middle) and Bill Gates (right). Taken at Little St. James, the island where Epstein kept several child prostitutes.

The UN now asks us:

> "Why do conspiracy theories flourish?"

The obvious answer: because all bourgeois society is an open conspiracy against the laboring masses, and there is no affront to human decency – *none whatsoever* –to which the bourgeois is not willing to sink for the sake of an extra dollar. "Conspiracy theories" gain popularity because their assertions, when based on the evidence, are the obvious conclusions one arrives upon when faced by a class which laughs in the faces of men as they openly conspire to enslave, rape, and kill the better portion of mankind. This is why "conspiracy theories" appear as instinctively true to literally anybody who does not have an interest in protecting this criminal regime.

But the UN has a different suggestion. They think these theories gain popularity because *you,* reader, are *stupid and emotionally incompetent*:

> "These theories flourish because they offer an explanation of events or situations which are hard to understand, and bring a false sense of control and agency. This need is heightened during times of uncertainty, like the COVID-19 pandemic."

If you believe that the world is run by unrestrained rapists and murderers, reader, then this is apparently because it brings you a "sense of control and agency". So says the UN, the largest political body on the planet. How comforting!

> "How do conspiracy theorists take root?" the UN now asks. "They often start as a suspicion. They ask who is benefiting from the event or situation

> and thus identify the conspirators. Any evidence is then forced to fit the theory."

This is one of the most interesting lines in all of the infographics. We actually agree that this is our method: to begin by looking where one's interests lie, and from there, inspect the evidence which is revealed through this line of questioning. Indeed, this is called "finding a motive", and it is a pretty important part of understanding how the world works. We are surprised the UN speaks of this as if it is a bad thing. It is like a child trying to talk his way out of trouble with his parents.

Let's apply the UN's logic to a simple conspiracy situation – let's say, paid assassination. Let's walk through the process. Inspector-Detective UN sees Person A is offered $1,000 by Person X to kill Person B. Person B is then found murdered. Inspector-Detective UN scratches his head: he is absolutely puzzled, and is quite annoyed the conspiracy theorists are insisting Person A had something to do with the murder. When Person A is later arrested in an unrelated traffic stop, he is found with a blood-stained knife, a wallet containing $1,000, a phone with Person X's contact listed. We suggest to Inspector-Detective UN that Person A killed Person B by stabbing him, and did so for the $1,000 Person X offered him earlier. "Shut up!" Inspector-Detective UN growls, "You are forcing all the evidence to fit the theory!"

> "Once they have taken root, conspiracy theories can grow quickly," the UN complains. "They are hard to refute because any person who tries is seen as being part of the conspiracy."

The UN speaks this line because they realize it is true: those who instinctively attempt to "refute" every "conspiracy" claim they hear, oftentimes without even researching it, *do so precisely because they have an interest in preserving the comfortable life afforded to them by the globalist parasitism of Rothschild, Rockefeller, and company*. Otherwise, those creeps would have been swinging from trees long ago. Through "philanthropy", the funding of major political parties, the inflation of markets and export of labor, the capitalist class is able to bribe not mere men, but entire nations with the spoils of imperialism. Lower gas prices for the plundering of Iraq; cheaper electric cars for the rape of Bolivia; and so on.

> "The few who understand the banking system will either be so interested in its profits or so dependent upon its favors that there will be no opposition to it," wrote Lionel Rothschild, the first Jew to sit in the English Parliament, in 1863. "The great body of people, incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantage the market derives from the system, will bear its burdens without complaint, and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is hostile to their interests."

What do we call this "great body of people", who refuse to fight against this system that is ultimately "hostile to their interests"? They are called *labor aristocrats,* bought and sold servants of imperialism. I do not hesitate to say that *they are more dangerous to mankind than the bourgeoisie themselves.* They are the diseased slime which gathers at the rim of the capitalist class. They love their slavery, and they love to bring others into slavery. The whole world hates them. *They are part of the conspiracy, and they defend their masters at every turn.*

> "Why do people believe in conspiracy theories? There are many reasons: most believe they are true. Others want to provoke, manipulate, or target people for political or financial reasons. Beware: they can come from many sources e.g. internet, friends, family."

Who do you believe, reader? Your own brother, or Lord Rothschild? Who do you think has more of an interest in "provoking, manipulating, and targeting" you for "political or financial reasons"? Your flesh and blood, or Mr. Rockefeller?

> For some reason, the UN seems to speak of "conspiracy theories" with the exact same vocabulary as STDs.

“Is this a Conspiracy Theory?”



The UN explains whether something is "okay to share" or "not okay to share" based on a flow chart explaining how exactly to think in each possible "likely" and "unlikely" scenario. What we see pictured is the fantastically torturous and convoluted mental process that a liberal suffers through when he encounters new information. For a workingman, it is as simple as being confronted with an assertion; considering the assertion and its opposite and determining which is more sensical; looking at the evidence; taking note of the author and their interests; and implementing the conclusion into one's worldview. Not so for the liberal, who struggles to comprehend even the most basic matters of epistemology, becoming easily overwhelmed when faced with simple moral dilemmas already solved by Greek slavers 2,400 years ago. The liberal would have only found reason in Kosmopolitos Diogenes, "the Cynic of Sinope".

That old MI6 fellow, George Orwell, understood the liberal's brain well enough, and had a term for this very habit: he called it "crimestop".

> "The Party taught that the mind should develop a blind spot whenever a dangerous thought presented itself," wrote Orwell in his speculative fiction *1984.* "The process should be automatic, instinctive. 'Crimestop', they called it. Crimestop means the faculty of stopping short, as though by instinct, at the threshold of any dangerous thought. It includes the power of not grasping analogies, of failing to perceive logical errors, of misunderstanding the simplest arguments, and of being bored or repelled by any train of thought which is capable of leading in a heretical direction. Crimestop, in short, means protective stupidity."

This “protective stupidity”, “Crimestop”, is *precisely* what the UN (and the bourgeoisie in general) aims to instill upon the reader. Indeed, they repeat over and over how they wish to “stop the spread” of certain thoughts and ideas, especially those which are “unverified” – not by the “Ministry of Truth”, as Orwell would have it, but by “Fact Checkers”.

> “Is this a conspiracy theory?” the UN asks rhetorically. “Check the author. Who is writing this, and why?”

This is an interesting point. The UN earlier said:

> “Conspiracy theories... ask who is benefiting from the event or situation and thus identify the conspirators.”

Which is it? Are we supposed to look at the interests of those involved, or not? Rules for thee, not for me – this is the motto of liberal elitism.

> “Do not share if the author is a self-proclaimed expert and not attached to a reputable organization or institution, or if they have credentials but are suspended.
>
> “It is okay to share if the author has recognized qualifications and credentials on the topic, using verifiable facts and evidence from scientific and academic research.”

In other words, you should never believe somebody unless they belong to an elite-approved organization, like a large academic institution (say, Harvard) or media conglomerate (say, NBC). You are supposed to ignore someone like me.

Am I a "self-proclaimed expert"? No: that would be the bourgeoisie, who insists acquired immunity does not exist and cannot answer what a woman is. I, on the other hand, am simply not a self-proclaimed idiot, and won't be made into one either. Look up the facts I have written: they are all true. But since I do not have the bourgeoisie's stamp of approval (which the reader can be assured would have been revoked the moment I brought up Jews), alas, nothing I say is true. If I say the sky is blue, you are not to share this statement, because I am not an approved expert on the matter.

Indeed, UNESCO goes as far as to say not to share something from someone who *is* a professional if they "have credentials but are suspended". What an easy out! That is to say, not only are we supposed to share information only "verified" by bourgeois-approved "experts"; but if one of the "experts" steps out of line and, with their expertise, determines to challenge the official narrative, their credentials can be *revoked*, and thus everything they said is *retroactively nullified,* it is no longer from an "expert", even though they were an expert when they said it. Apparently, one's expertise is dependent upon their credentials, rather than credentials being dependent upon their expertise.

> "Do not share if... independent fact checking websites do not support the source and have refuted related claims... It is okay to share if the source has been quoted by several reputable media outlets, the information is backed by many scientists/academics, and independent fact checking websites support the source and related claims."

The bourgeoisie takes you for a very pitiful, stupid creature, reader, I'm sorry to say. Do they think we have

not learned? *Have we not learned?* Look up Project Mockingbird.

> "The Central Intelligence Agency owns everyone of any significance in the major media," wrote CIA Director William Colby in *Honorable Men: My Life in the CIA* (1978).

Was the claim that Iraq had WMDs not quoted by "several reputable media outlets"? Was the claim that Africans are apes not "backed by many scientists and academics" until relatively recently, i.e. around the 1920s – 1940s (and only really being dispelled in the 1960s)? Those are but a couple among thousands of blatant attempts by world monopoly to justify human degradation and destruction for the sake of immediate material interests. If the "fact checkers" were around in the 1960s, they would have said: "J. Volker says the Negro is a human just like the Anglo-Saxon. Rating: False. Experts like William Sheldon and Charleton Coon say the fully developed Negro is akin to a 10 year old Anglo-Saxon..."

Jeffrey Epstein was a trustee of Rockefeller University with ties to the academic leadership of Harvard, MIT, and several other major schools. As a member of the Council on Foreign Relations and Trilateral Commission, he was playing a leading role (and this can't be understated) in shaping American media. It is men such as those working with Epstein that the reader is *supposed* to trust on matters of conspiracies, according to the UN.

Let's take perhaps the most popular "fact checking website" in America: so-called *Politifact*. Who runs Politifact, this favorite "fact checking website" of the liberals? It is owned by the Poynter Institute. Who

created the Poynter Institute? It was founded by the *Tampa Bay Times* owner Nelson Poynter, a media capitalist from Yale who met his wife, the Russian Jew Henrietta Malkiel, working for the campaign of Franklin Roosevelt in 1940; Malkiel worked for the Office of War Information, i.e. she was a paid state propagandist. Who today funds the Poynter Institute, which runs Politifact (the type of source you should rely on, according to the UN)? In 2015, their board of trustees was joined by Craig Newmark (founder of Craig's list), who gave the Institute $1 million. In 2017, they received $1.3 million from the Open Societies Foundation of George Soros. They are also funded by the Koch Foundation, owned by the *Koch family* – who even the liberals recognize as one of the most despised oil baron dynasties in America, typically associated with the worst crowd of CIA-aligned "neoconservatives".

Who else funds Poynter? *The Washington Post,* owned by Jeffrey Bezos, the wealthiest man in the world by net worth and a member of all kinds of elite NGOs, like the Business Roundtable and WEF. Does anything even need to be said about this? Is this not a rather obvious conflict of interest? Is Mr. Bezos going to tell us the truth about the world?

Above, we see a recent *Washington Post* article by Yale journalist Julia Azari. Such statements are to be

expected from a paper which is owned by Bezos. It is these people who fund your "fact checkers". The UN demands you believe in nothing that is not first "verified" by those on the payroll of institutions like the one above.

> "Check the tone and style," says the UN, resorting to the typical emotional appeals of liberalism. "Is it balanced and fair, or sensationalist and one-dimensional?"

What we are curious about is this: what is the UN's idea of "balanced and fair"? Are they talking about the conservative *Fox News* (whose slogan is "Fair and Balanced"), owned by Rothschild's Genie Energy associate Murdoch? Or were they thinking something more like the liberal *Washington Post,* owned by Bezos? Maybe they mean something which specializes in economics and politics, like *Bloomberg*, owned by, of course, Michael Bloomberg, the "friend" of Rothschild and Rockefeller? Perhaps they meant a more centrist, neutral publication, like *The Economist,* which is owned directly by the Rothschild family? Perhaps they just meant the most benign thing possible, one which can't possibly have bias, like *The Weather Channel?* But wait! The *Weather Channel*, too, boasts the Rothschilds as its majority shareholder; they hold 75% of its stock.

> "Do not share if the author presents their information as the only valid truth, raises questions instead of providing answers, demonizes whoever they assume is behind the plot..."

Would this not invalidate *all* the "fact checking websites" they just told us to use? At any rate, don't you dare ask questions. Only accept answers; do not think

for yourself. We wouldn't want to demonize rapists and plunderers now, would we?

We find something particularly interesting in this last part, where the UN explains "real conspiracies".

> "Real conspiracies large and small *do* exist. They are more often centered on self-contained events like an assassination or coup. They are unearthed by the media using verifiable facts and evidence."

Okay. This is fair. In that case, all that needs to be presented to the reader is the following map.



That is a map of countries which have had their governments overthrown (dark green) or elections interfered with (light green) by the US, particularly the CIA, from 1900 – 2022. Guess how many of these the UN tried to prevent? The UN wants you to believe these are "self-contained events". And by the way: the media played a larger role in fomenting support for every one of these coups than exposing them. Many of these were actively planned by Secretary of State Henry Kissinger, who as we've said, is one of the most powerful "grey eminences" in the world of politics today.

The UN now provides us with an example (albeit just one) of a "real conspiracy". Hilariously, *it still proves our point!*

> "Looking for a real conspiracy? In 2006, the US Court of Washington DC ruled that major cigarette companies were guilty of conspiracy. For decades, they had hidden evidence of health risks attached to smoking to promote higher sales."

Now, *if only* – oh, if only! – there were an example of a modern conspiracy regarding companies hiding evidence of health risks associated with their product in order to promote higher sales. What could possibly come to mind? It is almost like the UN – which mentions COVID over and over in their infographics – did this to taunt the reader.

Something the UN does not say: *who exactly owns those cigarette companies* that were found guilty of conspiracy? Let's look at the largest tobacco company in the US, Altria Group, which was involved in the 2006 lawsuit. From 1998 to 2004, Altria was the 2nd largest political donor in the United States. On its board of trustees today is, among others, Nabil Sakkab, the Senior Vice President of Procter & Gamble, one of the largest food conglomerates in the world; P&G is an active member of the UN's Gavi Vaccine Alliance, heavily funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. Along with Sakkab is George Muñoz, President of Muñoz Banking Group, formerly President of the Chicago Board of Education (1984 – 1987) and Assistant Secretary to the US Treasury (1993 – 1997); he was close to Bill Clinton. There is also Gerald Baliles, Governor of Virginia (1986 – 1990). There are others, but those are just a few examples of the men in charge of Altria.

The people who help make your vaccines were once found guilty of a “real conspiracy”, in the words of the UN. None of these men have lost their influence for engaging in conspiracy. They are still at the top of the world, right there with their friends at the UN, EC, and WJC, and all the big NGOs. They are still making the products you put in your body. Indeed, it just might even be possible that the men whom the UN admits were engaging in criminal conspiracy are, in fact, still engaging in criminal conspiracy. And what do we call those who assist criminals in covering up their crimes?



If you have doubts, don’t seek to resolve them through discourse: simply shut up and “don’t share”.

"What About My Own Beliefs?"; Or, Gaslighting the Reader





The UN rather boldly uses what appears to be the Masonic Eye of Providence here.

We will deal with this infographic very briefly. Quite simply, the UN, taking the role of a humble priest, intends to convince the reader the problem does not reside in global monopoly, but in their hearts. The UN does this through *gaslighting,* an English term that *Merriam-Webster*[2] defines as follows:

> "Psychological manipulation of a person, usually over an extended period of time, causing the victim to question the validity of their own thoughts, perception of reality, or memories, and typically leading to uncertainty of one's emotional or mental stability and a dependency on the perpetrator."

"A dependency on the perpetrator" – that last detail is important.

> "Nobody is free of biases or fears which could open the door to believing in a conspiracy theory," the UN writes. "Ask yourself: Why do I believe what I believe? Where do my fears, beliefs, and values come from?"

Ask yourself reader: where do your "fears, beliefs, and values" come from? Your nation and the interests of your nation, or from Rothschild and Rockefeller and the interests of Rothschild and Rockefeller? More are molded by the latter than realize it. As to "who"

[2] Which, by the way, is owned by the Safra family.

determines the UN's values, there is no question: the dollar (or as the Rothschilds call him, God).

> "How do they affect my decisions and how I interact with people?"

How do your national interests affect your decisions and how you interact with others, reader? The irony is that asking yourself this question in earnest will make you realize either that your interests lie directly against the world cartel (and thus there is no reason you should doubt these people's interests lie against you), or you will realize that your interests perfectly align with the world cartel (in which case you will realize you have a vested interest in denying even true conspiracies, which might be a moment of revelation).

> "Do I have prejudices? Why?"

Do I have a prejudice against Rothschild and Rockefeller? Yes. Why? Because Rothschild and Rockefeller have a prejudice against me. They espouse these prejudices rather openly.

> "Do I feel disadvantaged? In what way?"

Yes, I do. Mr. Epstein never invited me to his island, and about this, I am deeply upset. Do I need to get a job at Harvard first?

> "Do I feel the need to blame somebody else? Why?"

Yes, I do. I blame the capitalists. Why? Because the capitalists are to blame. How is it my fault that there are wars, refugee crises, and general downwards trend of civilization? I'm not in the UN, the WJC, the EC, nor do I run Twitter (I rather tend to be censored from it). I'm

not running any banks, any universities, any media. I haven't been photographed with drug and child traffickers, terrorists in the Middle East and Ukraine, mafiosos in Russia and Italy. So why would I blame myself? Why *wouldn't* I blame Rothschild and Rockefeller, who love to brag about how everything in the world ultimately comes back to them? Does the UN think I'm stupid?

> "How do I choose my sources of information?" "How has this changed since the COVID-19 outbreak?"

I simply read what the big capitalist write in the books they think we're too lazy to read. I did this before COVID, and I still do it now.

> "COVID-19 is frightening. It's normal to feel overwhelmed and search for answers. Keep in mind: nobody is responsible for creating the virus but we all can help curb the outbreak."

The infantilizing UN thinks we feel "frightened" and "overwhelmed". Not at all! We know our enemy, have known our enemy, and know what is inevitable for our enemy. It appears *they* are the ones feeling frightened and overwhelmed. And when they tell us that "nobody is responsible for creating the virus," it's quite odd, since one of their other infographics outright states "COVID is believed to have originated with animals, *but this is not yet proven,"* meaning the UN itself acknowledges the *possibility* (at the very least) that somebody *is* responsible for creating COVID – yet, they feel perfectly comfortable asserting that nobody is responsible, and that we are simply "frightened" and "overwhelmed" if we think somebody is responsible. Isn't that odd? To be declaring there was no foul play,

while simultaneously declaring foul play is not ruled out?



A criminal says: “He knows too much.” The UN says: “He has information overload.”

“Conspiracy Theories Can Be Dangerous”

#ThinkBeforeSharing

CONSPIRACY THEORIES

They can be dangerous

BE WARNED: THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC HAS SEEN A RISE IN HARMFUL AND MISLEADING CONSPIRACY THEORIES. IT MAY BE DIFFICULT TO RECOGNIZE THEM OR KNOW HOW BEST TO DEAL WITH THEM.

Conspiracy theories often target or discriminate against an entire group perceived as the enemy behind a real or imagined threat. They polarize society and fuel violent extremism. While most people who spread conspiracy theories genuinely believe in them, others deploy them cynically to achieve these effects.

How conspiracy theories damage

1. They identify an enemy and a secret plot that threatens peoples’ lives or beliefs and spark a defence mechanism, which can fuel discrimination, justify hate crimes and can be exploited by violent extremist groups.

2. They spread mistrust in public institutions, which can lead to political apathy or radicalization.

3. They spread mistrust in scientific and medical information, which can have serious consequences.

WATCH OUT:

Perceived “out groups” of society are especially prone to be targets of conspiracy theories, hate speech and disinformation campaigns. This includes people of different origin, religion or sexual orientation. In the context of COVID-19, specific groups were frequently falsely blamed for the spread of the virus in Europe, including people of assumed Asian origin, Jews, Muslims, Roma, and people who identify as LGBTI+ (FRA, 2020).

With thanks to Michael Butter, co-author of the *COMPACT Guide to Conspiracy Theories*, and John Cook and Stephan Lewandowsky, authors of *The Debunking Handbook* and *The Conspiracy Theory Handbook*.

UNESCO

CONSPIRACY THEORIES CAN HAVE SERIOUS CONSEQUENCES. TAKE THEM SERIOUSLY. CHECK BEFORE SHARING. STOP THE SPREAD.

European Commission

You ought to be flattered, reader. Here, our elite capitalist class admits just how terrified they are of you. Naturally, they do this in the gushy, sentimental fashion so common with their ilk, but nonetheless, they admit what they are truly scared of what could happen if these ideas are allowed to spread: *people could realize that the bourgeoisie is engaged in an open conspiracy against them,* and that such a conspiracy *can never be voted out* under a system made by the bourgeoisie, of the bourgeoisie, and for the bourgeoisie. The liberal, who insists he can vote his problems away, ought to just take up the bottle: he'll have more success drowning out his problems this way.

We see how the UN characterizes the spread of "conspiracy theories" as a hand holding a lit match. But when has a match ever been a danger to a healthy tree? Is it not rotten wood which is prone to catch fire?

> "Conspiracy theories often target or discriminate against an entire group perceived as the enemy behind a real or imagined threat. They polarize society and fuel violent extremism."

The "group" they are referring to is the bourgeoisie and aristocracy, the masters of world-rule. The "polarization" is not the fault of conspiracy theories, or of any ideas whatsoever, but of material fact. The beginning of time saw the rise of languages, and so men were "polarized" into nations with separate interests, separate histories and destinies. The nations themselves, thanks to the deeds of exploiter-parasites, has been "polarized" into mutually incompatible classes: on the one hand, classes who work only to be exploited, and on the other hand, classes who exploit so

that they never have to work. The UN and its masters fall in the latter.

We should say also that the worker does not merely "perceive" his enemy, as the UN says. The worker *knows his enemy,* even when his enemy is invisible. The capitalist *is the eternal enemy of the proletarian,* and will remain so until destroyed. Same goes for Baron Rothschild and the tribe of finance. The "violent extremism" the UN refers to is not the rape and plunder of men, women, children, whole nations, of Serbia, Arabia, Russia, Congo, Bolivia, not the trafficking of children by UNESCO's partner organization UNICEF (which is something that happened!), no: "violent extremism" is when the working man offers resistance to his own rape and plunder. The pious liberal orders us to bend before our rapist with a smile, although we are not even promised some great angelic fantasy in the afterlife, as the Christians would have it, but the mere approval of some Twitter algorithm!

> "How do conspiracy theories cause damage?" asks the UN. "They identify an enemy and secret plot that threatens peoples' lives or beliefs and spark a defense mechanism, which can fuel discrimination, justify hate crimes, and be exploited by violent extremist groups. They spread mistrust in public institutions, which can lead to political apathy or radicalization."

The UN here admits that, even assuming there *is* a real "enemy and secret plot that threatens peoples' lives," *they would nonetheless fight against those who "identify" it.* The UN just told us of cigar companies plotting to destroy peoples' health for the sake of profit. These people are still around, still in power. Yet, apparently, it is not a *good* thing, but in fact a *damaging*

thing that those purchasing cigarettes should now develop a "defense mechanism", wherein they "discriminate" against and "mistrust" big tobacco corporations. What does it "damage"? Profits. The UN is afraid the reader will become "radicalized" *against the profiteer*, who does not care about anybody's health or morals, or anything at all, besides the digits on the balance sheet. Perhaps the actual problem to be solved is not the "defense mechanism," but the "real conspiracy" which gave rise to it?

One of the "serious consequences" of ideas is, of course, serious actions.

The Link to Antisemitism

#ThinkBeforeSharing

CONSPIRACY THEORIES

The link to antisemitism

BE WARNED: THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC HAS SEEN A RISE IN HARMFUL AND MISLEADING CONSPIRACY THEORIES. IT MAY BE DIFFICULT TO RECOGNIZE THEM OR KNOW HOW BEST TO DEAL WITH THEM.

- → Not all conspiracy theories target Jews, but Jews have been the target of conspiracy theories for centuries. Jews have been falsely blamed for moments of crisis, such as diseases, wars, and economic crises.
- → Some of the most common antisemitic narratives include claims that "Jews" control the government, the media, or banks for malicious purposes. Despite vast historical evidence, some antisemites falsely claim that the Holocaust was caused by Jews or never took place.

What to watch out for:

- → Openly insulting and demeaning language
- → Implicit and coded antisemitic language (e.g. "East Coast elites" in the United States)
- Linking an alleged conspiracy to Jewish individuals or groups (e.g. the Rothschild family or George Soros, a philanthropist) or the State of Israel
- → References to The Protocols of the Elders of Zion, a forged pamphlet of a Jewish plan for world domination behind many antisemitic conspiracy narratives

With thanks to Michael Butter, co-author of the *COMPACT Guide to Conspiracy Theories*, and John Cook and Stephan Lewandowsky, authors of *The Debunking Handbook* and *The Conspiracy Theory Handbook*.

ANTISEMITISM IS A FORM OF DISCRIMINATION. CHECK BEFORE SHARING. STOP THE SPREAD.

UNESCO

European Commission

WJC WORLD JEWISH CONGRESS

Here we go. The forbidden subject: *Yehudim.* We thank the UN for bringing it up. Indeed, we will make them regret bringing it up. Let us consider the matter in detail, and treat it fairly – this is all that needs to be done.

The UN declares the Jews to be "Semites", since Jews love to play this role. It lets men like Rothschild, who are by all means mere Anglos with a knack for baby blood, to pretend that people hate them for their "Jewish race"; that criticism of the Jewish *religion* is *racism;* or more specifically, "anti-Semitism". Does the reader think that the metzitzah b'peh, a widespread Jewish ritual that involves mutilating a newborn baby's penis and then sucking the blood out of it directly, is perhaps savage and barbaric? This makes them an "anti-Semite" – so too are they an "anti-Semite" if they wonder why this practice is legal even in the US, where several babies die from herpes infections contracted from metzitzah b'peh rituals every year.

Let's consult Shulamit Aloni, an Israeli Jew who served as Minister of Education (1992 – 1993), founded the Ratz Party, and won the Israel Prize in 2000. Perhaps she can clear up our thoughts with an admirably honest interview she gave to *Democracy Now!* in 2002.

> "Anti-Semitism is a trick we always use," says Aloni. "When someone from Europe is criticizing Israel, we bring out the Holocaust. In America, if someone criticizes Israel, we say they are anti-Semitic. And, since our organization is very strong and has a lot of money, and the ties between Israel and the American Jewish establishment are very strong – they have power, as you know, which is okay, they are talented people – and they have power and money and media and other things.

> And their attitude is about their Israeli identity. They are not ready to hear criticism. It's very easy to blame critics as anti-Semites and to bring up the Holocaust. It justifies everything we do."

Jews claim they are "Semites" by the same logic that the NSDAP claimed Germans were "Aryans", or that Elizabeth Warren claims she is a Cherokee: that since one tiny portion of their DNA, oftentimes amounting to no more than one or two ancestors (or sometimes none at all!), comes from one ancient land two thousand years ago, they belong to a specific "race" that has nothing to do with their actual living reality, their nation. The Israeli Jew Shlomo Sand went as far as arguing that the modern Jews have no connection to the original diaspora at all, and instead come mostly from Slavs and Germanics converted by the Turkic Khazars, who worshipped Judaism.

With that said, let's see how the UN (and more importantly, the World Jewish Congress, who were probably especially involved in this infographic) handles the topic.

> "Not all conspiracy theories target Jews, but Jews have been the target of conspiracy theories for centuries. Jews have been falsely blamed for moments of crisis, such as diseases, wars, and economic crises."

There is a tremendous lack of self-awareness in refusing to ask just *why* Jews have always been the "target" of such claims, wherever they go, at whatever time period, seemingly without exception. To enlighten us, we will consult Bernard Lazare, a French Jew who is widely recognized as the preeminent Zionist of the 19th century, second only to Theodor Herzl.

> "If the notorious hostility towards Jews had only been shown at one period and in one country, it would be easy to dismiss this anger. But the Jews has been an object of hatred to all the peoples it has resided with. The enemies of the Jews belonged to the most diverse races, lived in nations very distant from each other, ruled by very different laws, governed by opposite principles, with diverse morals, diverse customs, animated by different motivations which did not permit them to judge everything in the same way. It must therefore be said that the general motivation of opposition to the Jews has always resided in the Jews themselves, and not in those who have fought against the Jews."

The fact is, religious animosities are a fact of history. The Christians burned Jews to death in public. The Jews murdered Christian babies in mock crucifixions on the Passover. What is telling is that only one of these two groups admits to their crime, while the other insists they are the victims of a conspiracy theory.

> "Some of the most common antisemitic conspiracy narratives include claims that 'Jews' control the government, the media, or banks..."

Let us investigate. Do Jews control the government? Let's take the US. Of the top 20 donors of the Democratic Party, 14 are Jews, including the top donor, Michael Bloomberg. Of the top 20 donors to the Republican Party, 7 are Jews, including the top donor, Miriam Adelson. That is to say: the largest donor of the Democratic Party, and the largest donor of the Republican Party, are both Jewish. Both support passionately support Israel. Both are religious and attend synagogues. The single largest political action committee (PAC) in America is AIPAC, or the American-Israel PAC: 58% of Congress belongs to it, as well as

both Trump and Biden. Time and time again, AIPAC officials have been recorded bragging of their ability to bribe US politicians to act in service of Israel.

> "President Joe Biden's cabinet is historically Jewish," writes the *Atlanta Jewish Times,* "All of his currently announced cabinet secretaries are Jewish, as well as his chief of staff and pick for director of national intelligence. The Jewish nominees include secretary of state Tony Blinken; secretary of the treasury Janet Yellen; secretary of homeland security Alejandro Mayorkas; and director of national intelligence Avril Haines. Also Jewish are Biden's Chief of Staff Ron Klain; and Jared Bernstein, whom Biden appointed to the Council of Economic Advisers. No matter how many Jewish officials end up in the Biden administration, the choices so far will put many Jews in high-ranking positions."

> "I'm more Jewish than Hillary Clinton," Joe Biden said in 2016. Neither of them are Jewish, but without the Chosen Peoples' blessing, a career in US politics is futile.

That is government. But do Jews control the media? The largest media corporation in the world, AT&T, which owns a *huge* swath of the worlds media, is run by CEO John Stankey, a Jew from California. But this is only one instance. We can get a better idea by consulting Joel Stein, an American Jew who does journalist work for some of the country's leading papers. In 2008, Stein wrote an absolutely legendary article called *Is Hollywood Run by Jews? You Bet!*

UNITED STATES

Is Hollywood run by Jews? You bet!

Joel Stein Los Angeles

I have never been so upset by a poll in my life. Only 22 per cent of Americans now believe "the movie and television industries are pretty much run by Jews", down from nearly 50 per cent in 1964.

The Anti-Defamation League, which released the poll results last month, sees in these numbers a victory against stereotyping. Actually, it just shows how dumb America is. Jews totally run Hollywood.

How deeply Jewish is Hollywood? When the studio chiefs took out a full-page ad in the Los Angeles Times a few weeks ago to demand that the Screen Actors Guild settle its contract, the open letter was signed by: Paramount Pictures chairman Brad Grey (Jewish), Walt Disney chief executive Robert Iger (Jewish), Sony Pictures chairman Michael Lynton (surprise, Dutch Jew), Warner Bros chairman Barry Meyer (Jewish), CBS chief executive Leslie Moonves (so Jewish his great-uncle was the first prime minister of Israel), MGM chairman

Jeff Zucker

Brad Grey

Robert Iger

Michael Lynton

Barry Myer

Leslie Moonves

Harry Sloan

Alan Rosenberg

COMMENT

trades to come up with six Gentiles in high positions at

I called ADL chairman Abe Foxman, who was in Santiago, Chile, where, he told me to my dismay, he was not hunting Nazis. He dismissed my whole

"happen to be Jewish", as in "seven of eight major film studios are run by men who happen to be Jewish".

But Foxman said he is proud

"According to the Anti-Defamation League," writes Stein, "Only 22% of Americans believe the movie and television industries are run by Jews, down from 50% in 1964. I have never been so upset by a poll in my life. It shows just how dumb America has gotten! Jews obviously run them. I had to scour the papers just to come up with six non-Jews in high positions at entertainment companies. When I called them to talk about their incredible achievement, five of them refused to speak with me out of fear of offending the Jews. The sixth, AMC President Collier, turned out to be Jewish after all."

That is the media. Do Jews control the banks?

> "The Jews are a bourgeois people," wrote Theodor Herzl, the father of Zionism, in his *Address to the Rothschilds on the Jewish State*. "We are hated because we achieve preeminence in the stock market, and Judaize the intellectual professions."

Rothschild, Goldman, Sachs, Lehman Brothers, Oppenheimer, Bloomberg, Guggenheim, Kuhn, Safra – the names speak for themselves. Of the Forbes top 40 billionaires list, 14 were Jewish, or 35% – despite Jews being less than .2% of the world population. That is to say, Jews are represented among billionaires at a rate 175x more than what one would expect. As Lenin mused: "Sentiments are pleasant, but facts are stubborn things."

Richest religious groups in the US, 2014, courtesy *Pew Research Center*.

	<$30k	$30k - $50k	$50k - $100k	$100k+
Jewish	17%	15%	24%	44%
Hindu	17	13	34	36
Episcopal	19	13	34	35
Presbyterian	24	15	29	32
Atheist	24	18	28	30
Orthodox	18	17	36	29
Mormon	27	20	33	20
Average	35	20	26	19

> "What is the real basis of Judaism?" wrote Marx, the grandson of a rabbi. "It is self-interest. What is the worldly religion of the Jew? Haggling. Who is the Jew's vile God? Money. Very well then! Emancipation from haggling and money, thus emancipation from Judaism, would be the emancipation of our times."

The UN goes on:

> "Despite vast historical evidence, anti-Semites falsely claim that the Holocaust was caused by Jews or never took place."

First thing first: the Holocaust did take place, and we don't deny it. We are more curious about that *first* assertion they snuck in there: the "false claim that the Holocaust was caused by Jews". What do they mean by this? Are there people that say this? What is the basis of their suspicion? This deserves investigation.

> "The Zionist movement of Europe played a very important role in the mass extermination of Jews," writes Hungarian Jew Rudolf Vrba, Prisoner #44070 in Auschwitz Concentration Camp during the Holocaust. "The Zionists said that we are not we are not Germans, we are not French, we are Jews and we must go back to our country, to Palestine, and found our Israeli state. Then came the Nuremberg Law, which was a Nazi law that said Jews do not belong to Europe, but to Palestine. Nazism and Zionism both preached that Jews don't belong to Europe but to Palestine.
>
> "The Nazis then formed Jewish councils from a Zionist core, fortified by respectable members of society: top lawyers, top business people, top economists. They made up the Jewish councils. They were promised by the Germans to be protected from any discrimination because they are needed for administering of the Jewish affairs. I realized that the Zionists and the Nazis are identical enemies of mine."

Look it up reader: Rudolf Kastner. Chaim Rumkowski. Association of German Nationalist Jews. Hans-Joachim Schoeps. Havara Agreement. Judenratten. Ghettopolezei. Lehi (Stern Gang). How long were Rothschild banks allowed to run under Hitler? About a decade. How many German Jews fought for the Wehrmacht? 140,000. Who helped set up Israel's Mossad? Walter Rauff. What did the Jew Soros call "the happiest years" of his life? "The years of the German

occupation," when he helped the NSDAP confiscate property from the Hungarians. Who were the main victims of the Holocaust? Poles and Slavs. Who does Hollywood memorialize? Jews. Is Hollywood run by Poles or Slavs? An absurd notion. Is Hollywood run by Jews? "You bet!" says Joel Stein.

> "The Governments of all countries scourged by Anti-Semitism will be keenly interested in assisting us to obtain the sovereignty we want," wrote Theodor Herzl in his *Address to the Rothschilds on the Jewish State.* "Anti-Semites provide the requisite impetus we need. They need only do what they did before, and then they will create a desire to emigrate where it did not previously exist... I imagine that Governments will, either voluntarily or under pressure from the Anti-Semites, pay certain attention to my scheme."

Herzl and the Rothschilds laid out their plan as early as 1898. And what happened thereafter?

> "The essential points are the expulsion of the Jews from every sphere of life of the German people," reads the minutes of the Wansee Conference, where the 'Final Solution' (Holocaust) was put into effect. "Able-bodied Jews will be taken in large work columns to the East for work, and it is doubtless a large portion will be eliminated by natural causes. The final remnant will undoubtedly be the most resistant portion, since it is the product of natural selection, and upon release will act as the seed of a new Jewish revival."

Is it not quite odd, reader? For all that talking they do in the schools, they never do show us the actual document which began the Holocaust. "The seed of a new Jewish revival." It seems that the Nazis were... Zionists? Reinhardt Heydrich, who wrote the Wansee

Protocol and thus devised the actual formal plan of the Holocaust, would eventually forge an official alliance with Lehi, a leading Zionist group of the time. The Germans provided Lehi with guns and immigrants in exchange for their cooperation against the British. These guns and immigrants were shortly thereafter turned upon the people of Arabia. Today, their grandchildren far surpass the shekel-huckstering of their forefathers: they trade IOUs for atomic weapons, and prostitute children to the world's elite.

The UN, stumbling forth like a boxer rocked from too many punches, goes on to tell us:

> "Watch out for openly insulting or demeaning language or implicit or coded anti-Semitic language (e.g. 'East Coast Elites' in the US)."

This is amusing, because when one means Jews, they typically just say "Jews". When one hears "East Coast Elites", the two foremost names to appear in the mind are Astor and Rockefeller – neither of whom are Jewish. Simply put, the UN hears "East Coast Elites", and their mind thinks "Jews". Why is that?

As for "demeaning language", UN has evidently never heard a Jew talk about themselves, nor have they read what their own God wrote of them. On the contrary, Jews love to make fun not only of themselves, but to call for the outright extermination of others.

> "Goyim [non-Jews] were born only to serve us. Without that, they have no place in the world – only to serve the People of Israel."

> "Goyim need to die, but God gives them longevity. Why? Because goyim will plow, they will farm,

> while we will sit like nobles and eat… That is why goyim were created."
>
> "Those evildoers, the Arabs – God is sorry he ever created those sons of Ishmael. They're stupid. Their religion is as ugly as they are."

True, those quotes are brutal, but the Bible is an Ancient book – the Quran and New Testament say similarly vile things, no? But alas, reader, those verses are not from the Bible, nor the Talmud. They are all from Ovadia Yosef, the Chief Rabbi of Israel, one of the most influential Jews of the modern day.

Ovadia Yosef is dead now: his son, Yitzchak Yosef, is the current Chief Rabbi. Yitzchak Yosef oversaw the conversion ceremony of Ivanka Trump, President Donald Trump's daughter. He has said many things: that he "didn't go to high school, never studied math, and turned out fine," and that "all goyim are animals" that "arouse disgust" in the Jews, "whether they are black, red, or white, giant or dwarf, or even with spots."

(Left) Ovadia Yousef, who said all non-Jews "need to die" but are allowed to live for the simple sake of working for the Jews, meets with President Ronald Reagan in the White House. (Right) Yitzchak Yousef, Ovadia's son who has nearly identical beliefs, officiates the conversion of Ivanka Trump, daughter of US President Donald Trump.

Meanwhile, from another perspective, we have Maria Farmer, a victim who was trafficked by Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell and in this way got to witness the most depraved behavior from the world's most influential Jews – including Ehud Barack, the President of Israel, and of course, the Rothschilds and Guggenheims – from 'behind the scenes'. Farmer said:

> Farmer: "These people honestly think their DNA is better than everybody else's, I swear to you. They told me, 'You're nothing, you're not Jewish, you're a useless White girl, a poor little peasant.' It's like a cult. And you wouldn't believe the way they speak about African-Americans. It made my skin crawl. Anybody who was not Jewish... It showed me a great deal about what these people truly believe. They really think they're chosen to do something here. It's every single one of them... I once heard Maxwell's daughter say, 'Mom, why do you call Maria a nobody?' She replied, 'Maria's not a Jew, she is a nobody.'"

But do not dare "openly insult or demean" the Jew, or you have become an "anti-Semite". Indeed, Epstein's lawyer Alan Dershowitz slandered Farmer in the press as an "anti-Semite" for the above quotation, and this was so pathetic that even *Haraetz Israel,* a leading Israeli paper, published an article headlined *Alan Dershowitz's New Smear Campaign Target: Jeffrey Epstein Victims.*

The UN wraps up their infographic with what is perhaps the single most inexplicable decision imaginable.

> "Also watch out for attempts to link an alleged conspiracy to Jewish individuals or groups (e.g. the Rothschild family or George Soros, a philanthropist) or the State of Israel."

Really! The World Jewish Congress, chaired by David Rothschild, helped the UN produce an infographic telling people to retreat from a conversation if someone mentions the Rothschilds. Does anything even need to be said of this? Can the bourgeois get any lazier, any more transparent? And yet, nothing happens! How pathetic must we be, to tolerate this filth? How cowardly are we, to shrink from mentioning these bloodsuckers by name? How far have we fallen, for the cause of human civilization to lie down and submit to its own rape, plunder, and demise?

Oh, and George Soros, "a philanthropist", oh! Indeed he is. Holy Soros, blessed be thy name. Let us allow him to describe himself.

> "I get blamed for everything," said Soros in a 20 December 1998 interview for *60 Minutes.* "But I am basically there to make money. I cannot and do not look at the social consequences of what I do."

Indeed, these are the fellows the UN demands that you, reader, must shut up and obey, lest you be labeled an "anti-Semite". Where is your allegiance, gentile reader? The workingman of your nation? Or the globalist, profiteering Jew?

The UN then goes on to tell the reader to be wary of anybody quoting the *Protocols of the Elders of Zion.* We are inclined to agree: this work is, indeed, a forgery. If the reader hears somebody quoting it, they should do them a favor and introduce them to Herzl's *Address to the Rothschilds on the Jewish State.* This one is real, and has a holiday dedicated to it in Israel. Or, better yet, just read the Talmud.

They make extra sure the reader knows the WJC was behind this one. Say, reader: waht do you think the WJC believes about the Semitic Arabs? The answer can be found in the fact that the WJC's Chairman Rothschild actively finances their ongoing extermination by Israel.

“Prebunking and Debunking”; Or, Limiting Exposure to Ideas



The UN now provides us with a series of methods by which to shut down conversations about the world's elite. It is here that the tone of treating ideas like diseases become especially pronounced.

> "Stopping the spread of conspiracy theories is challenging. There is no one size fits all approach. It depends on the level of exposure. People who firmly believe in conspiracy theories are extremely difficult to reach."

The UN thus introduces the concept of "prebunking" and it is truly remarkable.

> "Warn people early on that conspiracy theories exist. Encourage rational thinking, questioning, and fact-checking. Alert people about the arguments behind the most common COVID-19 conspiracy theories and the key traits of conspiratorial thinking -- suspicion of official accounts, immunity to contrary evidence, reinterpreting random events as part of a broader plan."

The philosophy is that "conspiracy theories" can be defeated by bringing and dispelling them up preemptively, so that they can be shut down before a person has ever even encountered them. The irony is that once one brings up a conspiracy theory, they can go on and on about "the key traits of conspiratorial thinking" or whatever else, and nonetheless, the listener will have already become interested in researching the subject on their own. Basically, we thank the UN for this advice, because it is precisely this sort of behavior which drives people to read things such as this article.

The UN then moves on to "debunking", i.e. dispelling theories after someone has already encountered them. This is really amusing, because the UN's advice is

basically to avoid the subject at all costs and resort to diversions. This is indeed Crimestop, the Orwellian phenomenon we discussed earlier – "protective stupidity".

> "Focus on the facts you want to communicate, not the myth you want to debunk. Don't focus on the conspiracy theory. Don't reinforce it. Don't overwhelm with information."

It gets particularly blatant with the following, telling the reader to "target the author, source, or logic," but not the theory itself.

> "Choose your target: the author, source, or logic behind the conspiracy theory. Always state clearly that the information is wrong."

The UN admits that it cares only about the *form* the argument is delivered in (i.e. no emotional language allowed, nor hurtful language, nor anybody who lacks proper credentials), and cares not at all for the actual theory ("don't focus on the conspiracy theory"). This is basically what any professor would tell one *not* to do when attempting to discover the validity of a theory. How in the world does one reconcile the idea that we should respond to new information by never addressing the information itself with any notion of intellectual honesty? It can't be done. The UN, deceptive as always, again makes a mockery of the reader.

"How to Talk to Somebody That Believes In Them"; Or, How to Respond to Those that Don't



The UN now tells us how to talk to somebody that is convinced of "conspiracy theories".

> "When talking to somebody who believes in a conspiracy theory, be aware that any argument challenging the conspiracy theory may be taken as

> proof that you are part of the conspiracy and reinforce the belief; they probably believe in more than one conspiracy theory; and they will probably argue hard to defend their beliefs."

The parts which follow are, in my opinion, very strange. Perhaps these infographics were all written by different people, because this one seems to largely contradict all the earlier advice about not directly addressing the conspiracy. In this regard, the UN makes a whole slew of mistakes (for their side), encouraging readers to engage in "open debate" about these topics, which invariably will open them up to finding the truth – something which is obviously *against* the interests of the UN, being in the position of the liar. The only line worth criticizing is the advice to "enlist trusted former conspiracy theorists who once believed the same thing," which is just outright impractical. We will just let the infographic speak for itself, and not add much in way of commentary.

> "What can you do? Encourage open debate and questions. Ask detailed questions about their theory in order to trigger self-reflection. Enlist trusted former conspiracy theorists who once believed the same thing. Be cautious and use a variety of sources around the theme. Don't ridicule. Try to understand why they believe what they believe. Show empathy. Often, the person may be truly fearful or distressed. Go step by step. Focus on simple facts and logic instead of covering every detail. Don't push. Too much pressure might backfire. Leave them time to process and try again."

With this advice, the reader will be a "conspiracy theorist" in no time!

“The Link to COVID-19”

> COVID-19 is a new disease caused by the most recently discovered coronavirus. Scientific evidence shows that coronaviruses generally originate from animals. The animal source for COVID-19 has not been confirmed yet (WHO, 2020).

This whole set of infographics was ultimately made for this point – that COVID-19 was completely natural and not to be suspected. All the other stuff about Jews and whatnot was simple opportunism. These infographics were made to dispel (or at the least stigmatize) the idea that there were human forces behind the COVID epidemic and nefarious motives behind the vaccine rollout. We already know that due to the very conditions of bourgeois society, the vaccine rolleout *did* have a nefarious motive: profit. But then, they began to offer these vaccines for free – even in America, where nothing is free, and a month's supply of insulin (which costs ~$.25 to produce) costs $400 to purchase. Odd, no? One among a thousand oddities, which we really can't get into here, because they are so vast and numerous. I have another article on the matter (a somewhat sloppy one, unfortunately, but enough to start the reader on their path); for the time being, we will only touch 'the tip of the iceberg'.

Still, the UN is forced to admit that "the animal source for COVID has not been confirmed yet." Despite this, they are adamant to persuade the reader never to engage with any critical thinking in regards to the origin of COVID and its disastrous response by the world's governments.

> **"BE CAREFUL, CONSPIRACY THEORIES ARE DECEPTIVE:** THEY IGNORE SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE AND FALSELY BLAME INDIVIDUALS AND GROUPS THAT ARE NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PANDEMIC. **DO NOT SHARE THEM."**

A bold statement. Before we go on, we should recognize that these COVID "conspiracy theories" largely revolve around two men in particular: Bill Gates, and Dr. Anthony Fauci. Bill Gates needs no introduction to the reader – we even saw him a bit earlier with Epstein and Summers, the latter being a Genie Energy trustee with Rothschild, Murdoch, and so forth. Bill Gates is a *Malthusian.* He believes the world has too many people, i.e. it is "overpopulated". He once infamously put out a video on overpopulation titled: "Does saving more lives lead to overpopulation?" His "Giving Pledge" was originally "the Good Club", a eugenicist secret society. Most damningly, he gave a TED Talk in 2012 called *Innovating to Zero,* where he utters the following line at 4 minutes and 30 seconds (reader can look it up):

> "The world population is 6.9 billion people, and that's going up to 9 billion. But if we do a really good job with vaccines, we can cut that down by about 10 to 15 percent."

Event 201. Merely weeks before COVID was "discovered", Gates coupled with the WEF and John Hopkins University to study a simulation of a pandemic. Everything that happened during the COVID outbreak and vaccine rollout was discussed at this event, as if with foresight. The reader can look into it if they wish.

The other man we wish to look at, Dr. Anthony Fauci, is the head of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIHIAD) and was more or less the



leader of the COVID response in America. Look up Fauci's name, reader: you will see the media in full force extolling him, defending him from every accuser and critic, kissing his feet and praising his holy name – indeed, they even made a documentary about him during the pandemic. Who is this Dr. Fauci? Dr. Fauci's life has been wrought with many infamous episodes, including (but not limited to) being accused of deliberately misinforming people during the AIDS epidemic to such a degree that it killed thousands more than if he had just kept quiet; carrying out a bizarre experiment which involved torturing dogs with infected flies and then killing them, all funded on taxpayer money; claiming that "arguing against me is arguing against science"; committing perjury and then officially changing the NIHIAD's definition of "gain-of-function research" to retroactively nullify his perjury; and much, much more.

This is what victims of AIDS thought of Dr. Fauci in the 1980s. Not "right-wingers", no: these are almost all homosexuals, widely "left-wing" types. There are anti-Reagan posters in the back.

Our general point regarding Fauci, the leader of the American COVID response, can be made *very* concisely by providing four sources. The first source is Dr. Kary Mullis, who invented the PCR test – that is to say, a legitimately world-changing doctor, a Nobel Prize laureate. This is what he had to say about Anthony Fauci in a 1993 interview, *long* before COVID:

> "Fauci doesn't know anything really about anything, and I'd say that to his face. He He doesn't understand electron microscopy and he doesn't understand medicine. He should not be in a position like he's in. Most of those guys up there on the top are just total administrative people, they don't know anything about what's going on. Those guys have got an agenda, which is not to take care of our health. They've got a personal kind of agenda. They make up their own rules as they go. They change them when they want to, and a smug type like Fauci does not mind going on television in front of the people, face out, and lying directly into the camera."

The second source is the above photograph, which displays Anthony Fauci at the 2001 Carnegie Philanthropy Awards with not only Bill Gates Sr., but also Rockefeller, Astor, Soros, and others. That "journalist" standing with t hem, Barbara Walters, once told a child rape victim, Corey Feldman, that he should have kept quiet to protect the reputation of his rapist – she said this on live television, on her show *The View.*

The third are the following two emails, among the many Fauci emails released to the public, which reveal Fauci was conducting his research on highly contagious pathogens alongside representatives from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. The first email, dated 21 April 2020, from Fauci's NIH associate Dr. Francis Collins, reads:

> See note below from Victor Dzau about a global effort on COVID-19. I can't tell if this is more than a fund-raising effort. I know we have Gates reps on our ACTIV working groups.

The second email, dated 3 May 2020, from the Director of the NIH Robert Gallo to Collins (and forwarded to Fauci), reads:

> "As my FDA collaborator, Konstantin Chumakov says, blessed be Bill Gates."

The fourth and final source is the following essay, *Highly Pathogenic H5N1 Influenza Virus*, was written by Dr. Fauci for NIHAID in 2012. It is very easy to find online.

> Consider this hypothetical scenario: an important gain-of-function experiment involving a virus with serious pandemic potential is performed in a well-regulated, world-class laboratory [Fort Detrick?]

> by experienced investigators, but the information from the experiment is then used by another scientist who does not have the same training and facilities and is not subject to the same regulations [Wuhan Institute?[3]]. In an unlikely but conceivable turn of events, what if that scientist becomes infected with the virus, which leads to an outbreak and ultimately triggers a pandemic? I have said that the benefits of such experiments and the resulting knowledge outweigh the risks.

Okay. With this said, let us move on to the UN's infographic. The UN acquaints us with the "red flags" of COVID "conspiracy theories", informing us which topics are enough for us to shut down the conversation. And oh dear, are they really shameless!

> "What are the red flags? Watch out for claims that the virus was artificially created (e.g. in a laboratory) by people with a specific interest (e.g. reducing world population)."

Do people assert this? Actulaly, yes: over 5 million Chinese signed a petition demanding the UN investigate Fort Detrick, which several high-ranking Chinese officials insist is responsible for creating COVID releasing it in Wuhan (likely with help from the Wuhan Institute). What is the basis for their assertion? The UN simply responds: "DO NOT SHARE." Let's look into the matter in more detail:

> "The unusual features of the virus look engineered. We have a good team lined up to look very critically at. I should mention that after

[3] After all, if the Chinese accusation of the virus' US origins proved true, an inadequately regulated laboratory would be a reasonable place for it to have been introduced to the country in a subtle but extremely effective manner without the State's knowledge.

> discussions earlier today, Eddie, Bob, Mike and myself all find the genome inconsistent with expectations from evolutionary theory.

Is that a "conspiracy theory"? The UN says yes. But in fact, the above quotation actually comes from Fauci's associate, Dr. Kristian Andersen of the Scripps Research Institute. The email was sent on 31 January 2020 directly to Fauci. The "Eddie", "Bob", "Mike" are leading NIH doctors. Fauci then went on a private phone call with various doctors. He then went in public and asserted there was no proof whatsoever that the disease was manmade, then accused the Chinese of making it, then went back to insisting it began in animals, and now he acknowledges it may have begun in a lab, but that he's not sure. The reader is advised to look into Representative Jim Jordan's Congressional presentation on these emails, as it is rather concise and gives the general idea of this whole episode. I also covered it in my article on COVID (which is admittedly rushed and a bit sloppy). The reader is free to give it a look.

> "What are the red flags? Claims that the virus was spread intentionally, or its natural spread artificially augmented to harm as many people as possible."

We quote Anthony Fauci at the beginning of the pandemic, after the Chinese had already alerted WHO that COVID was a "highly contagious and deadly pathogen":

> COVID is very, very low risk to the United States. It isn't something the American public needs to worry about or be frightened about.

After that, by Fauci's own tally, nearly 1 million people died. Of course, Fauci would blame the "anti-vaxers", but his word only means so much. In a normal world, he would have been disgraced and de-licensed for making the above statement.

> What are the red flags? Claims that vaccines and cures are intentionally withheld to not disrupt the spread and to harm as many people as possible.

Sure, of course! Simply put: ask any diabetic, reader, or many cancer patients. Even the completely politically ignorant, unconscious of class realities and the powers that be, nonetheless seem to share a universal sentiment that there probably already exists a cure for their ailment, that is being withheld from them so that they can be wrung for profit. The fact that insulin itself is, as we mentioned earlier, something like $240 a dose when it should not reasonably far exceed $.25, certainly helps demonstrate the bourgeoisie's callous lack of interest for actual matters of health. Does the reader really think that a country which runs its medical system for profit will care about curing diseases?

> What are the red flags? Claims that certain sanitary measures to counter the spread of the virus are used to intentionally harm or control society (e.g. vaccines, masks).

The fact is, it has been proven the vaccine did virtually nothing to prevent the contraction and transmission of the disease; the vaccine did cause severe detriments to health and in fact killed over 2,000 people in their earliest trials (and were nonetheless approved by the FDA, whose Commissioner, Scott Gottlieb, used to be a trustee of Pfizer, on top of being a member of the WEF). The fact is, several leading

medical institutions – Mayo Clinic, Duke University Hospital, etc. – acknowledged that the masks do nothing, and in fact, "some masks actually do more harm than good", says Duke Hospital. Even Fauci did not wear his mask in private.

Above, Fauci, after calling for mandatory mask mandates for children (who now have severe detriments to their psychological-emotional development thanks to barely seeing faces for two years), watches a baseball game with his mask around his neck. The media made a billion excuses on his behalf. Time and time again, several other politicians did this same thing.

> "A global study of 28 countries revealed that more than 3 in 10 people believe an ulterior power or force is deliberately causing the spread of the COVID-19 virus," the UN laments.

We say: too few! Make it 10 in 10. Never forgive; never forget. Those who spoke in defense of this crowd ought to be made aware that their opinions will never again hold weight among the masses.



Does the reader remember during COVID, when every passing week, "conspiracy theories" were proven true? The idea that the vaccine was causing menstrual problems in women, for instance. Furthermore, 2nd grade knowledge like acquired immunity was suddenly denounced as false by the "experts" on TV. They have an agenda, and it is not public health – it is profit and Malthusianism.

“Concrete Counter Actions”

#ThinkBeforeSharing

CONSPIRACY THEORIES

Concrete counter actions

STOP

BE WARNED: THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC HAS SEEN A RISE IN HARMFUL AND MISLEADING CONSPIRACY THEORIES. IT MAY BE DIFFICULT TO RECOGNIZE THEM OR KNOW HOW BEST TO DEAL WITH THEM.

IF YOU ARE CERTAIN YOU HAVE IDENTIFIED A CONSPIRACY THEORY, REACT RATHER THAN IGNORE. HERE ARE SOME CONCRETE WAYS:

On social media:

- Comment with verified information (e.g. from fact-checking website)
- Do not share the post

On websites and blogs:

- Contact the author or the web manager with verified information and ask them to make corrections
- Do not share the website or blog post

On media outlets:

- Contact the editorial board
- Contact your local/national press council or press ombudsperson
- Do not share the material

With thanks to Michael Butter, co-author of the *COMPACT Guide to Conspiracy Theories*, and John Cook and Stephan Lewandowsky, authors of *The Debunking Handbook* and *The Conspiracy Theory Handbook*.

RELY ON VERIFIED INFORMATION.
COUNTER FALSE INFORMATION.
STOP THE SPREAD.

European Commission

In other words, the UN, which has grown used to infantilizing the reader, now orders them to tattle on the naughty boys who spread unallowed ("unverified") information.

> "If you have identified a conspiracy theory, react rather than ignore. Here are some concrete ways. On social media: comment with verified information (e.g. from a fact-checking website); do not share the post. On websites and blogs: contact the author or the web manager with verified information and ask them to make corrections; do not share the website or blog post. On media outlets: contact the editorial board, local or national press council, or press ombudsperson; do not share the material."

If the reader is tempted to bottle up such discussions and hide them away, they ought to learn the following lesson: when one sets off a firecracker in their open palm, it will sting very badly for a moment, but this will quickly subside. After the first couple of times, you get used to it, and it stops stinging. But if one lights off a firecracker in their closed fist, it will quite literally blow their hand off in a gory mess, bits of flesh and blood splattering across their face. The UN demands the reader close his fist around the firecracker, so that the sparks don't set off all the gunpowder they've left at their own feet. Those who fall for this command don't really end up stopping the fire: they just learn a quick, painful lesson.

"How Can Journalists Report on Conspiracy Theories?"

#ThinkBeforeSharing

CONSPIRACY THEORIES

How can journalists report on them?

BE WARNED: THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC HAS SEEN A RISE IN HARMFUL AND MISLEADING CONSPIRACY THEORIES. IT MAY BE DIFFICULT TO RECOGNIZE THEM OR KNOW HOW BEST TO DEAL WITH THEM.

→ Reliable sources of information are essential to counter the spread of conspiracy theories and disinformation. Journalists should report responsibly using verified sources, making sure not to reinforce conspiracy theories. This can be a challenge.

In reporting on conspiracy theories, journalists should:

- Emphasize core facts, not conspiracy theories in headlines
- Reinforce core facts in the main text, using verified information
- Warn about related conspiracy theories circulating, before referencing them
- Explain how they are misleading



With thanks to Michael Butter, co-author of the *COMPACT Guide to Conspiracy Theories*, and John Cook and Stephan Lewandowsky, authors of *The Debunking Handbook* and *The Conspiracy Theory Handbook*.

UNESCO

BE A QUALITY JOURNALIST.
RELY ON VERIFIED INFORMATION.
STOP THE SPREAD.

European Commission

There is one more UN infographic, titled "How can journalists report on conspiracy theories?" It is mostly redundant. But still, it is worth looking as so that we understand the methods used by the journalists to discredit critics of the globalist bourgeoisie.

> "Reliable sources of information are essential to counter the spread of conspiracy theories and disinformation."

Behold, reader, your "reliable sources of information":



> Look, it's Murdoch, our friend from Genie Energy! Meanwhile, Comcast's Roberts is a committed Zionist who endorsed Hillary Clinton in 2016, while Time-Werner's Bewkes is good personal friends with Michael Bloomberg.

Even *Business Insider,* quite literally an arm of this huge media monopoly, declares: "Just six corporations control over 90% of media in the US."

> "Journalists should report responsibly using verified sources, making sure not to reinforce conspiracy theories. Emphasize core facts, not conspiracy theories in headlines."

Back in the day, the journalists of America were known as "muckrakers", as "the fourth estate of government", famous for the persistence by which they relentlessly exposed the corruption of the state and big monopoly. Not anymore. Now, journalists should be biased, says the UN. If there is a conspiracy, or a theory of such, the journalist's responsibility *is to snuff it out.* This is what your masters demand.

> "Reinforce core facts in the main text, using verified information."

This is all euphemistic. If the journalists actually did this, the reader would've already known about everything written in this essay (but I almost guarantee they did not). What the UN is really saying is this: "reinforce" (incessantly repeat) "core facts" (agreed upon lies) using "verified information" (what is published by the CIA through the Associated Press and Council on Foreign Relations).

> "Warn about related conspiracy theories circulating before referencing them and explain how they are misleading."

We see this one *a lot* in media. They make sure to viciously attack the idea as wrong, delusional, etc., *before* they introduce us to what the idea actually is. This is done to "program" (for lack of a better term) the

reader's response before he has even acquainted himself with the information. The reader is made to think that people who *believe* the theory he is about to hear are seen as stupid and delusional, so that when he actually hears the theory, he feels afraid to admit that it is completely reasonable, out of fear of being seen as stupid and delusional. This is particularly pronounced among liberals, who are practically incapable of basic thought and simply obey whatever *appears* to be consensus.



Who is one of the highest-regarded bourgeois journalists? Walter Cronkite. Did the reader know Cronkite was a member of the elite Bohemian Grove, where he provided voice recordings for a gigantic pagan owl statue for their mock Babylonian child sacrifice ritual? Sounds ridiculous, but look it up. Nixon called it the "faggiest thing" he'd ever been to, while Clinton said it was "a weird summer camp for all the big Republicans."

The Fact of Conspiracy

That concludes the UN "Think Before Sharing" campaign. A baseless, thoroughly bourgeois campaign meant to dissuade the masses from understanding the incompatibility of their own existence with the existence of the Rothschild, Rockefeller, etc. cartels. There is no *theory* of conspiracy; there is merely the *fact.* The world is run by profiteers. Those that defend the world order expect to turn a profit. How does the worker vote Baron Rothschild out of his position of influence? In what way can the worker vote that will end up with Baron Rothschild being put in an electric chair? The simple answer: there isn't one, hasn't ever been one, and never will be one. A state run by Baron Rothschild will not put Baron Rothschild in the electric chair, no more than the Ancien Regime would send King Louis to the guillotine. Indeed, the state is there precisely to prevent such a thing from occurring. As to how that problem is resolved, I will leave the reader to figure out on their own.

J. VOLKER